

Waxman  
Weiner  
Welch (VT)  
Weldon (FL)

Wexler  
Whitfield (KY)  
Wilson (OH)  
Wolf

Woolsey  
Wynn  
Yarmuth

## NOES—130

Akin  
Alexander  
Bachmann  
Barrett (SC)  
Bartlett (MD)  
Barton (TX)  
Biggert  
Bilbray  
Bilirakis  
Bishop (UT)  
Blackburn  
Blunt  
Bono Mack  
Boozman  
Brady (TX)  
Broun (GA)  
Buchanan  
Burton (IN)  
Buyer  
Campbell (CA)  
Cannon  
Cantor  
Carter  
Chabot  
Coble  
Cole (OK)  
Conaway  
Crenshaw  
Cubin  
Culberson  
Davis (KY)  
Davis, David  
Doolittle  
Drake  
Dreier  
Duncan  
Emerson  
English (PA)  
Everett  
Fallin  
Feeney  
Flake  
Foxx  
Franks (AZ)

Frelinghuysen  
Gallegly  
Garrett (NJ)  
Gingrey  
Gohmert  
Goode  
Goodlatte  
Granger  
Graves  
Hall (TX)  
Hastings (WA)  
Heller  
Hensarling  
Herger  
Hoekstra  
Hulshof  
Issa  
Johnson, Sam  
Jones (NC)  
Jordan  
Keller  
King (IA)  
Kline (MN)  
Knollenberg  
Kuhl (NY)  
Lamborn  
Latham  
Latta  
Lewis (CA)  
Linder  
Lucas  
Lungren, Daniel  
E.  
Mack  
Manzullo  
Marchant  
McCarthy (CA)  
McCaul (TX)  
McCrery  
McHenry  
McKeon  
McMorris  
Rodgers  
Mica

Miller (FL)  
Miller (MI)  
Moran (KS)  
Neugebauer  
Nunes  
Pearce  
Pence  
Peterson (PA)  
Petri  
Pickering  
Pitts  
Poe  
Price (GA)  
Putnam  
Radanovich  
Rehberg  
Reynolds  
Rogers (MI)  
Rohrabacher  
Roskam  
Royce  
Ryan (WI)  
Sali  
Sensenbrenner  
Sessions  
Shadegg  
Shuster  
Simpson  
Smith (NE)  
Smith (TX)  
Souder  
Stearns  
Tancredo  
Terry  
Thornberry  
Walberg  
Wamp  
Weller  
Westmoreland  
Wilson (NM)  
Wilson (SC)  
Wittman (VA)  
Young (AK)  
Young (FL)

## NOT VOTING—29

Baca  
Baker  
Berkley  
Berry  
Boehner  
Brown (SC)  
Camp (MI)  
Cohen  
Deal (GA)  
Delahunt

Forbes  
Fossella  
Hobson  
Hunter  
Jefferson  
Kingston  
Lantos  
Lewis (KY)  
Miller, Gary  
Paul

Rush  
Schmidt  
Sherman  
Shinkus  
Slaughter  
Solis  
Sullivan  
Visclosky  
Wu

□ 1433

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. BACA. Mr. Speaker, I was unable to be present for today's floor votes due to personal business. If I were present I would have voted "aye" on Final Passage of H.R. 3524, the HOPE VI Improvement and Reauthorization Act of 2007.

Stated against:

Mr. WELDON of Florida. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 18 for final passage to H.R. 3524 I voted "aye" but my intent was to vote "no." I ask that the official RECORD reflect that my intent was to vote "no" on final passage.

## PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. BERRY. Mr. Speaker, on Thursday, January 17, I was unable to vote on rollcall votes Nos. 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, and 18 due to unavoidable circumstances. Had I been present, I would have voted "no" on rollcall votes Nos. 13, 14, 15, and 16; and "aye" on rollcall votes Nos. 12, 17, and 18.

## AUTHORIZING THE CLERK TO MAKE CORRECTIONS IN ENGROSSMENT OF H.R. 3524, HOPE VI IMPROVEMENT AND REAUTHORIZATION ACT OF 2007

Ms. WATERS. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Clerk be authorized to make technical corrections in the engrossment of H.R. 3524, to include corrections in spelling, punctuation, section numbering and cross-referencing, and the insertion of appropriate headings.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. LEE). Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from California?

There was no objection.

## REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 760

Mrs. CAPITO. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to remove my name as a cosponsor of H.R. 760.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from West Virginia?

There was no objection.

## PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas. Madam Speaker, I was unavoidably detained on yesterday, January 16, in the rollcall vote No. 11, H.R. 4986, the defense authorization bill.

If I had been present, because of the continued support of the Iraq war, I would have voted "no."

## LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. BLUNT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. BLUNT. I yield to my friend from Maryland, the majority leader, to inquire about next week's schedule.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the distinguished Republican whip for yielding.

On Monday, the House will not be in session, in observance of Martin Luther King, Jr.'s birthday, which was on January 15, but will be celebrated and honored on Monday.

On Tuesday, the House will meet at 12:30 p.m. for morning-hour debate and 2 p.m. for legislative business.

On Wednesday, the House will meet at 10 a.m. for legislative business. We will consider several bills under suspension of the rules. A list of those bills, as is the normal course, will be announced by the close of business tomorrow. On Wednesday, we will also take up the President's veto of the children's health insurance legislation.

The House will not be in session on Thursday or Friday. The minority party is having its conference at that point in time, as we will have the following week.

Mr. BLUNT. I thank the gentleman for that information. And we are having a short week next week because of the Republican planning retreat and a short week the next week because of the majority's planning retreat.

With those two short weeks, I know that the FISA legislation that had a bipartisan extension in the very first days of August expires February 1. That is just 2 weeks from now; it is about 4 or 5 working days. Given that deadline, I wonder if we could expect the House to consider some extension during that 2-week period of time, and if the gentleman has any sense yet as to what extension the majority might propose.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the gentleman for his question. As he and I have discussed and as he knows, I am disappointed that we are not in conference on the FISA bill. The Senate has not yet passed its version of the FISA bill. As you know, we passed the FISA bill in December. I think it was early December, as a matter of fact. And we understand that the legislation we passed last August has an expiration date of February 1 and that, therefore, we will either be acting under the old law, an extended law, or a revision that we might pass.

The leader of the Senate, Harry Reid, has talked about perhaps a 30-day extension. I have not talked to him about that personally, but I know that they are considering that. I also know that it is the Senate's intention to address this issue upon their return next week. As you know, they will be in most of the week next week, I think, so we will have to see probably the end of next week where the other body is so that we might better judge where we need to be.

Mr. BLUNT. I look forward to talking to my friend during the week next week and at the end of next week at this same opportunity about that if we don't yet quite know where we are. But I appreciate that, and I know we are both going to keep a close eye on that. This is an important law, and my belief is that everyone involved would rather have a long-term solution as another short-term solution, but it does appear at least possible if not likely that a short-term solution might have to be part of what happens here before we get to a conference.

On the DOD authorization bill that we passed by working together this week to solve a problem, does the majority leader have any sense as to whether that bill that we sent over originally will be back on the floor at any time, or if there will be any provisions? I have heard some discussion that there might be those among our Members who would like to vote on just the passage that created a problem, and I am wondering if you have any thoughts on how to deal with that bill. The authorization bill we replaced is still out there, but it would be my impression that it is not coming back in any form, and I am wanting some verification on that.

Mr. HOYER. First of all, I share the gentleman's view, and my expectation is that the authorization bill we passed yesterday will be passed by the Senate as was passed here. Because, as you